

ARIZONA PHYSICIANS' AND PHARMACEUTICAL  
FEE SCHEDULE  
2012/2013



Adopted by  
The Industrial Commission of Arizona

David Parker, Chairman

Kathleen Oster, Vice Chair

John A. McCarthy, Jr., Member

Susan Strickler, Member

Michael G. Sanders, Member

Effective October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013

2012/2013 ARIZONA PHYSICIANS' & PHARMACEUTICAL FEE SCHEDULE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction .....	3
A. General Guidance .....	4
B. Payment and Review of Billings .....	5
C. Reimbursement of Mid-Level Providers .....	7
D. Directed Care and Use of Networks .....	8
E. Treatment of Industrial Injuries and Diseases .....	9
F. Reopening of Claims .....	11
G. No-Insurance Claims .....	12
H. Consultations .....	12
I. Definitions of Select Unit Values .....	12
Pharmaceutical Fee Schedule .....	15
Anesthesia Guidelines .....	17
Anesthesia Codes .....	19
Surgery Guidelines .....	26
Surgery Codes .....	29
Radiology Guidelines .....	161
Radiology Codes .....	165
Pathology/Laboratory Guidelines .....	183
Pathology/Laboratory Codes .....	184
Medicine Guidelines .....	216
Medicine Codes .....	217
Physical Medicine Guidelines .....	237
Physical Medicine Codes .....	240
Special Services Guidelines .....	242
Special Services Codes .....	244
Evaluation & Management Guidelines .....	247
Evaluation & Management Codes .....	261
Category III Guidelines .....	265
Category III Codes .....	266

## INTRODUCTION

Since 1925, when the Arizona Legislature passed the State's first Workers' Compensation Act ("Act"), the Industrial Commission of Arizona ("Commission") has administered the workers' compensation laws of that Act. The Act includes the authority of the Commission to set a schedule of fees to be charged by physicians, physical therapists, and occupational therapists attending injured employees. See A.R.S. § 23-908(B). In 2004, A.R.S. § 23-908 was amended to include the setting of fees for prescription medicines required to treat an injured employee.

Any reference to "physicians" in this Fee Schedule is intended to include physical therapists or occupational therapists, certified registered nurse anesthetists, physician assistants and nurse practitioners. See also the definition of "physician" found on page 9 of this introduction.

Physicians treating employees under industrial coverage are entitled by law to charge according to the schedule of fees herein adopted. Accurate calculation of fees based upon this schedule, the monthly filing of reports and bills for payment, and the use of forms prescribed are essential to timely and correct payment for a physician's services and can be vital in the award of benefits to the injured worker and their dependent children.

This Fee Schedule has been updated, in part, by incorporating portions of the American Medical Association's 2011 *Physicians' Current Procedural Terminology*, Fourth Edition (CPT®-4), including the general guidelines and modifiers found in Appendix A. The Commission has also adopted by reference the American Society of Anesthesiologists Association's 2011 *Relative Value Guide*, the latest version of the Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Complete Global Service Data, and the 1995 and 1997 Documentation Guidelines for Evaluation and Management Services. For specific details regarding other updates approved by the Commission this year, please refer to the 2012 Summary of Commission Action regarding the Physicians' and Pharmaceutical Fee Schedule, which is available for review on the Commission's website at [www.ica.state.az.us](http://www.ica.state.az.us).

In accordance with copyright requirements of the American Medical Association, the Commission has updated their procedure codes to conform to the American Medical Association's *Physician's Current Procedural Terminology*, Fourth Edition (CPT®-4). The general guidelines and modifiers as found in Appendix A of the 2011 CPT®-4 were adopted by reference by the Industrial Commission and are applicable when utilizing Arizona's Fee Schedule. The Commission has retained unique codes that deal with the Arizona workers' compensation program. These services involve administrative and billing procedures and are identified by a "Δ". To the extent that a conflict may exist between adopted portions of the CPT®-4 and a code or guideline unique to Arizona, then the Arizona code shall control.

Please note that the unit values assigned to the procedure codes listed in this document are the product of the Industrial Commission of Arizona and are not associated in any way with the American Medical Association or any other entity or organization.

**A. General Guidance Regarding Application of Physicians' and Pharmaceutical Fee Schedule**

1. **PHARMACY FEE SCHEDULE:** Reimbursements associated with Pharmaceuticals are found in the Pharmaceutical Fee Schedule Section of this document.
2. This Fee Schedule establishes the fees that can be charged by physicians to insurance carriers or self-insured employers for services performed for employees under Arizona's workers' compensation law.  
  
If a physician or insurance carrier is referring an injured worker to a medical specialist for evaluation and/or treatment, the medical specialist's diagnosis becomes the foundational diagnosis for billing purposes.
3. Routine progress and routine final reports filed by the attending physician do not ordinarily command a fee.
4. Payment will be made for only one professional visit in any one day except when the submitted report clearly demonstrates the need for the additional visit and fee.
5. Fees for hospital, office, or home visits, subsequent to the initial visit, are not to be added to coded surgical procedures performed in the same day.
6. Routine office treatment principally by injection of drugs, other than antibiotics, requires authorization by the carrier or self-insured employer for each series of 10 after the first series of 10.
7. Except in emergencies, a carrier must be given notice regarding a consultation and the consultant must provide his/her report to the carrier and the attending physician within a reasonable period of time to facilitate processing of claims.
8. The Commission requests that carriers notify attending physicians at the same time the claimant is notified that their claim is closed with or without supportive care. If a claim is approved for reopening, the carrier should also notify the attending physician of that approval.
9. An attending physician may submit a claim for consultant's fee only when such service is requested by carrier or self-insured employer.
10. Missed individual appointments for consultants, without prior notification, will be compensated at 50% of consultation fee.
11. No fees may be charged for services not personally rendered by the physician, unless otherwise specified.

12. The Commission will investigate an injured workers' complaint of bad faith/unfair claims processing practices, and if appropriate, impose penalties under A.R.S. § 23-930, in those circumstances where a "peer to peer" review was not conducted by a physician with appropriate skill, training, and knowledge or where the individual performing the "peer to peer" review was not licensed. The Commission will also investigate an injured workers' complaint of bad faith/unfair claims processing practice, and if appropriate, impose penalties under A.R.S. § 23-930, for a denial of treatment based on the failure of the treating doctor to participate in a "peer to peer" review, when the treating doctor has not been given reasonable time or opportunity to participate in the "peer to peer" review.
13. As authorized under A.A.C. R20-5-128, the fee for the reproduction of medical records for workers' compensation purposes shall be 25¢ per page and \$10.00 per hour per person for reasonable clerical costs associated with locating and reproducing the documents.

**B. Payment and Review of Billings; Required Information and Documentation**

1. Under Arizona workers' compensation law, an insurance carrier, self-insured employer or their representative is not responsible for payment of a billing for medical, surgical, and hospital benefits that the insurance carrier, employer or representative receives more than 24 months from the date that the medical service was rendered, or from the date on which the provider knew or should have known that the service was rendered, whichever occurs later. See A.R.S. § 23-1062.01.

It is incumbent upon the insurance carrier, self-insured employer and third party processing service to inform all parties, including the Commission, regarding changes in addresses for bill processing locations.

2. Under Arizona workers' compensation law, a physician is entitled to timely payment for services rendered. To ensure timely payment of a medical billing, a billing must contain the information required under A.R.S. § 23-1062.01. A billing must contain at least the following information: Correct demographic patient information including claim number, if known; Correct provider information, including name, address, telephone number, and federal taxpayer identification number; Appropriate medical coding with dollar amounts and units clearly stated with all descriptions and dates of services clearly printed; and Legible medical reports required for each date of service if the billing is for direct treatment of the injured worker.
3. Payment of a workers' compensation medical billing is governed by A.R.S. § 23-1062.01, which includes:
  - a. Timeframes for processing and payment of medical bills;
  - b. Criteria for billing denials;

- c. A provision that the injured worker is not responsible for payment of any portion of a medical bill on an accepted claim or payment of any portion of a medical billing that is being disputed;
  - d. A provision that the insurance carrier or self-insured employer may establish an internal system for resolving payment disputes;
  - e. A provision that A.R.S. § 23-1062.01 does not apply to written contracts entered into between medical providers and insurance carriers and self-insured employers or their representatives that specify payment periods or contractual remedies for untimely payments; and
  - f. A provision that the Industrial Commission does not have jurisdiction over contract disputes between the parties.
4. “Reasonable justification” to deny a bill does not include that the payment/billing policies of another private or public entities (publications) do not allow it unless the publication has been adopted by reference in the Fee Schedule.

Excluding bundling and unbundling issues, it is not the Commission’s intent to restrict an insurance carrier’s, self-insured employer’s or third party processing service’s ability to address issues not addressed by the Fee Schedule. This includes evaluating unlisted procedures, establishment of values for unlisted procedures, establishing values for codes that are listed as “BR” or “RNE”, new CPT® codes that have not been adopted by the Industrial Commission, or issues outside the jurisdiction of the Fee Schedule, such as hospital billings.

- 5. Physicians shall provide legible medical documentation and reports that are sufficient for insurance carriers/self-insured employers to determine if treatment is being directed towards injuries sustained in an industrial accident or incident. The physician shall ensure that their patients’ medical files include the information required by A.R.S. § 32-1401.2. The medical provider is not required to provide copies of documents or reports that they did not author and that are not in their possession (i.e. Employers’ First Report of Injury).
- 6. Treating physicians shall submit a narrative that justifies the billing of a level 4 or 5 E & M service.
- 7. The Commission has adopted by reference the 1995 and 1997 Documentation Guidelines for Evaluation and Management Services. Medical billings shall be prepared and reviewed consistent with how these guidelines are used and interpreted by CMS. Additionally, payers are required to disclose the guideline utilized in their Explanation of Reviews (or other similar document).

8. A payer's Explanation of Review (or other similar document) shall contain sufficient information to allow the physician to determine whether the amount of payment is correct and whom to contact regarding any questions related to the payment. Information in the Explanation of Review (or other similar document) shall include the following:
  - a. The name of the injured worker;
  - b. The name of the payer and the name of the third party administrator ("TPA"), if applicable;
  - c. If applicable, the name, telephone number, and address of all entities that reviewed the medical billing on behalf of the payer;
  - d. If applicable, the name, telephone number and address of the party that has a written contract signed by the physician that allows the contracting party or other third party to access and pay rates that are different from those provided under this Fee Schedule;
  - e. The amount billed by the physician;
  - f. The amount of any reduction due to a written contract with the physician; and
  - g. The amount of payment.
9. Nothing in this Fee Schedule precludes a physician from entering into a separate contract that governs fees. In this instance, reimbursement shall be made according to the applicable contracted charge. In the absence of a separate contract that governs a physician's fees, reimbursement shall be made according to this Fee Schedule. A payer shall demonstrate that it is entitled to pay the contracted rate in the event of a dispute. If a payer fails to provide evidence that it is entitled to pay a contracted rate, then the payer shall be required to make payment as provided in this Fee Schedule.

### **C. Reimbursement of Mid-Level Providers**

Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists ("CRNA's") are reimbursed at 85% of the fee schedule.

Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners are reimbursed at 85% of the fee schedule except if services are provided "incident to" a physician's professional services. In that instance, reimbursement is required to be at 100% of the fee schedule. The following criteria are identified as establishing the "incident to" exception:

- a. The Physician Assistant and Nurse Practitioner must work under the direct supervision of a physician,
- b. The Physician must initially see that patient and establish a plan of care for that patient ("treatment plan"),
- c. Subsequent service provided by the Physician Assistant and Nurse Practitioner must be a part of the documented treatment plan, and
- d. The Physician must always be involved in the patient's treatment plan and see the patient often enough to demonstrate that the Physician is actively participating in and managing the patient's care.

For purposes of the Arizona Fee Schedule, the Commission recognizes that direct supervision of a Physician Assistant or Nurse Practitioner by a Physician can be accomplished through the use modern technology and telecommunications (telemedicine) and may not require the on-site presence of the Physician when the Physician Assistant or Nurse Practitioner sees the patient. In all instances, however, and regardless of the extent to which telemedicine is used, the Physician must actively participate in and manage the patient's care if services provided by a Physician Assistant or Nurse Practitioner are billed at 100% of the fee schedule under the "incident to" exception.

It is the responsibility of the Physician to document if the services provided by a Physician Assistant and Nurse Practitioner are "incident to" the Physician's professional service. If either the incident to criteria is not met, or the documentation submitted fails to support the "incident to" criteria, the reimbursement should be made at 85% of the fee schedule.

#### **D. Directed Care and Use of Networks**

The Arizona Workers' Compensation Act only permits private self-insured employers to direct medical care. A.R.S. § 23-1070(A); See also *Southwest Gas Corp. v. Industrial Commission of Arizona*, 200 Ariz. 292, 25 P.3d 1164 (2001). This limitation on the scope of directed care means that employees of private self-insured employers do not have an unrestricted right to choose their own medical providers, while employees of all other employers do (including public self-insured employers).<sup>1</sup> Notwithstanding an employee's right to choose, many workers' compensation insurance carriers ("carriers") and public self-insured employers ("employers") have taken advantage of "networks" to reduce their costs. This is done by either creating their own network of "preferred providers" or by contracting with a third party to access private health-care networks.

Actions or conduct that impair or limit the right of an employee to choose their medical provider may rise to the level of bad faith and/or unfair claims processing practices under A.R.S. § 23-930. The Commission will investigate a complaint of bad faith/unfair claims processing practices, and if appropriate, impose penalties under A.R.S. § 23-930, in those circumstances where a carrier, employer, or TPA has engaged in conduct that results in directing a claimant to a "network" provider. The following are examples of conduct that the Commission would consider appropriate for investigation under A.R.S. § 23-930.

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be noted that the law governing directed care is not limited to "medical doctors," but instead applies to medical, surgical, and hospital benefits. See A.R.S. § 23-1070. The phrase, "medical, surgical, and hospital benefits" is defined in A.R.S. § 23-1062(A), which states: "Promptly, upon notice to the employer, every injured employee shall receive medical, surgical and hospital benefits or other treatment, nursing, medicine, surgical supplies, crutches and other apparatus, including artificial members, reasonable required at the time of the injury, and during the period of disability. Such benefits shall be termed 'medical, surgical and hospital benefits.'"



- A claimant is told that they must to see a physician (or other provider) that is “in the network;”
- A claimant is told that care from a “non-network” physician (or other provider) is not authorized;
- A “network” physician (or other provider) is told that referrals are required to be made to another “network” physician (or other provider);
- A “network” physician (or other provider) is told that they may not recommend a “non-network” provider to a patient;
- A “non-network” physician (or other provider) is told that care will only be authorized if provided by a “network” provider; and
- A “non-network” provider is told that reimbursement will be made according to “network” discounts.

**E. Treatment of Industrial Injuries and Diseases**

1. The term “Physician” in relation to workers’ compensation cases includes the following: doctors of medicine, doctors of osteopathy, doctors of chiropractic, doctors of naturopathic medicine, certified registered nurse anesthesiologists, physician assistants and nurse practitioners.
2. Only physicians and surgeons licensed in the State of Arizona are permitted to treat injured or disabled employees under the jurisdiction of the Commission, unless others are specifically authorized.
3. An employee who sustains an injury arising out of, or in the course of, employment is entitled, under Arizona law, to select a physician of his/her own choice unless that employee is employed by an employer as described in A.R.S. § 23-1070. Employers described in A.R.S. § 23-1070, excluding the State or Political Subdivisions thereof, are allowed to direct medical care.
4. The attending physician’s promptness and professional exactness in the completion and filing of workers’ compensation forms are extremely important to the employee being treated. The injured or disabled employee’s claim to medical benefits and compensation can rest on the conscientious attention of the physician in processing the required reports.
5. The Commission, the employer and the insurance carrier may, at any time, designate a physician or physicians to examine an employee. Upon application of the employer or employee or insurance carrier, the Commission may order a change of physician or a change of conditions of treatment when there are reasonable grounds for belief that the employee’s health or progress can thus be improved.

6. A claimant may not change doctors without the written authorization of the insurance carrier, the Commission or the attending physician. A claimant may not transfer from one hospital to another without the written authorization of the insurance carrier or the Commission. If the patient's employment requires leaving the locale in which he/she is receiving treatment, the attending physician should arrange for continued treatment and notify the carrier of such arrangement. It is the responsibility of the physician or the hospital to which a patient has transferred to ascertain whether such a change has been authorized.
7. Treatment of conditions unrelated to the injuries sustained in the industrial accident may be denied as unauthorized if the treatment seems directed principally toward the non-industrial condition or if the treatment does not seem necessary for the patient's physical rehabilitation from the industrial injury.
8. If the patient refuses to submit to medical examination or to cooperate with the physician's treatments, the carrier or self-insured employer should be notified.
9. If an employee is capable of some form of gainful employment, it is proper for the physician to release the employee to light work and make a specific report to the carrier or self-insured employer as to the date of such release. It can be to the employee's economic advantage to be released to light work, since he/she can thus receive compensation based on 66 2/3% of the difference between one's earnings and one's established wage. On the other hand, it would not be to the employee's economic advantage to be released to light work if, in fact, the employee is not capable of performing such work. The physician's judgment in such matters is extremely important.
10. If the employee no longer requires medical care for the industrial injury, the physician should submit the report with the date of discharge to the carrier or self-insured employer, even though as a private patient the employee may require further medical care for conditions unrelated to the industrial accident. This final report and discharge date are necessary for closing the claim file.
11. If the physician determines that the employee has suffered permanent disability or measurable impairment in function or disfigurement about the head or face, including injury to or loss of teeth, the physician is to report the abnormal findings in detail and estimate the degree of permanent disability. The Rules of Procedure Before the Industrial Commission of Arizona require that any rating of the percentage of functional impairment should be made in accordance with the standards of evaluation published in Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment by the American Medical Association.

12. Once an exposure to blood-borne pathogen occurs, the workers' compensation insurance carrier/self-insured employer is responsible for payment of the accepted treatment protocol which includes the HBIG vaccination (Hepatitis B Immune Globulin), and, if necessary, the three (3) Hepatitis B vaccinations.

When a work-related incident occurs that may have exposed an employee to Hepatitis, the insurance carrier/self-insured employer is responsible for paying for the testing and/or treatment of Hepatitis B or C. As to treatment of HIV, if a bona fide claim exists under A.R.S. § 23-1043.02, then the insurance carrier/self-insured employer is responsible for paying for the treatment.

13. It is the employer's responsibility, in accordance with existing OSHA standards, to pay for HIV testing. The insurance carrier may seek reimbursement from the employer for the costs associated with providing the series of three (3) Hepatitis B vaccinations if the employer failed to provide them in violation of federal and state laws.

#### **F. Reopening of Claims**

1. Whether or not the employee has suffered a permanent disability, the claim may be reopened on the basis of a new, additional or previously undiscovered disability or condition, but:
  - a. The claimant should use the form of petition prescribed by the Commission;
  - b. The petition must be personally signed by the worker or his authorized representative and must be filed at any office of the Industrial Commission of Arizona;
  - c. The petition, in order to be considered, must be accompanied by the physician's medical report. (See Rules of Procedure)
2. If the claim is reopened, the payment for such reasonable and necessary medical, hospital and laboratory work expense shall be paid by the insurance carrier if such expenses are incurred within 15 days of the filing of the petition to reopen.
3. No monetary compensation is payable for any period prior to the date of filing of the petition to reopen. Surgical benefits are not payable for any period prior to the date of filing of a petition to reopen, except that surgical benefits are payable for a period prior to the date of filing not to exceed seven (7) days if a bona fide medical emergency precludes the employee from filing a petition to reopen prior to the surgery. Other information relative to reopening rights may be found at A.R.S. § 23-1061(H)

4. If a claim is approved for reopening, the carrier must notify the attending physician of that approval.

#### **G. No-Insurance Claims**

“No-Insurance” claims are workers’ compensation claims involving injuries to employees of employers who do not have workers’ compensation insurance coverage as required by Arizona law. In such cases, all claims and reports are to be addressed to the No-Insurance Section of the Special Fund of The Industrial Commission of Arizona.

#### **H. Consultations**

1. When available, the Commission’s medical advisor’s role is to assist the Commission in resolving medical questions related to the Fee Schedule and to assist the Commission Claims and Special Fund Divisions in understanding medical procedures, reaching decisions regarding relationship of the injury or disease to employment, work status of the patient, most suitable medical protocols, and evaluation of permanent impairment.
2. Workers’ compensation cases present additional medical and legal problems which justify consultation sooner and more frequently than for the average private patient. In difficult problems and in cases requiring an estimate of general or uncheduled disability, consultation with specialists in the appropriate field may be requested by any interested party.

#### **I. Definitions of Select Unit Values (BR, RNE, SV and Materials/Supplies)**

1. BY REPORT “BR” ITEMS: “BR” in the value column indicates that the value of this service is to be determined “by report”, because the service is too unusual or variable to be assigned a unit relativity. Pertinent information concerning the nature, intent and need for the procedure or service, the time, the skill and equipment necessary, etc., is to be furnished. A detailed clinical record is not necessary.
2. RELATIVITY NOT ESTABLISHED “RNE” ITEMS: “RNE” in the value column indicates new or infrequently performed services for which sufficient data has not been collected to allow establishment of a relativity. “RNE” items are clearly definable and not inherently variable as are BR procedures. A report may be necessary.
3. SERVICE “SV” ITEMS: “SV” in the value column indicates the value is to be calculated as the sum of the various services rendered (e.g., office, home, nursing home or hospital visits, consultation or detention, etc.), according to the ground rules covering those services. Identify by using the code number of the “SV” item. The Value is established by identifying each individual service, listing the code number and its value.

4. **MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES:** A physician is not entitled to be reimbursed for supplies and materials normally necessary to perform the service. A physician may charge for other supplies and materials using code 99070<sup>2</sup>. Examples of those items that are and are not reimbursable are listed below. Documentation showing actual costs associated with providing supplies and materials plus fifteen percent (15%) to cover overhead costs will be adequate justification for payment. This provision does not apply to retail operations involving drugs or supplies. Administration of drugs to patients in a clinical setting is covered under code 99070. Prescription drugs provided to patients as a part of the overall treatment regimen but outside of the clinical setting are not included under this code.

Examples of supplies that are usually not separately reimbursable:

- Applied hot or cold packs
- Eye patches, injections or debridement trays
- Steristrips
- Needles
- Syringes
- Eye/ear trays
- Drapes
- Sterile gloves
- Applied eye wash or eye drops
- Creams (massage)
- Fluorescein
- Ultrasound pads and gel
- Tissues
- Urine collection kits
- Gauze
- Cotton balls/fluff
- Sterile water
- Band-Aids and dressings for simple wound occlusion
- Head sheets
- Aspiration trays
- Tape for dressings

Examples of material and supplies that are generally reimbursable include:

- Cast and strapping materials
- Sterile trays for laceration repair and more complex surgeries
- Applied dressings beyond simple wound occlusion
- Taping supplies for sprains
- Iontophoresis electrodes
- Reusable patient specific electrodes

---

<sup>2</sup> CPT only copyright 2010 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Dispensed items, such as, but not limited to the following:

Canes  
Braces  
Slings  
Ace wraps  
TENS electrodes  
Crutches  
Splints  
Back support  
Dressings  
Hot or cold packs

5. In the text of the Fee Schedule, we utilize \* and \*\* to denote “add-on” codes and those codes that are exempt from the multiple procedure rule.

a. \* Denotes Add-On Codes

(List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

Note: This code is an add-on procedure and as such is valued appropriately. Multiple procedure guidelines for reduction of value are not applicable.

b. \*\* Denotes Codes Exempt from Modifier “-51”

Note: Multiple procedure guidelines for reduction of value are not applicable for this code.